

Miura Map between Lattice KP and its Modification is Canonical

Q.P. Liu

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Trieste 34100, Italy

and

Beijing Graduate School

China University of Mining and Technology

100083, Beijing

P.R. China*

Abstract

We consider the Miura map between the lattice KP hierarchy and the lattice modified KP hierarchy and prove that the map is canonical not only between the first Hamiltonian structures, but also between the second Hamiltonian structures.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that Miura map, a transformation between the KdV equation and MKdV equation, plays a central role in the development of soliton theory. Indeed, the celebrated Inverse Scattering Method for solving nonlinear equations starts with the Miura map [11]. This type of transformations turns out to exist in the context of other integrable equations (see [1]-[10][13]-[14] and the references there).

Kupershmidt, in a recent paper [8], considered the canonical properties of Miura maps between KP and MKP hierarchies. He shown that, both in continuous and discrete cases, Miura transformations are canonical between the first Hamiltonian structures. For the ordinary or continuous KP and its modification, Shaw and Tu [13] generalized the results of Kupershmidt and proved that the very Miura map is also canonical between the second Hamiltonian structures.

We will consider the canonical property of the Miura map between the Lattice MKP (IMKP) and the Lattice KP (IKP) hierarchies. The IKP hierarchy is a bi-Hamiltonian system and two Hamiltonian structures were constructed by using the residue calculus in [7]. For the IMKP hierarchy, the first Hamiltonian structure was also found in [8]. A slight different version of the IMKP hierarchy was proposed by Oevel and he further obtained the bi-Hamiltonian description for this hierarchy by means of r-matrix approach [12]. By introducing a parameter, we unify Kupershmidt's version of the IMKP hierarchy and Oevel's version into a single system. Our main purpose of the paper is to prove that Kupershmidt's Miura map is a canonical map not only between the first Hamiltonian structures of IKP and IMKP, but also between the second Hamiltonian structures.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce notations and recall the relevant formulae such as bi-Hamiltonian structures of the IKP and IMKP hierarchies. In section 3 and section 4, we show that Kupershmidt's Miura map is a canonical transformation for the first Hamiltonian structures and the second Hamiltonian structures respectively. The last section is intended to summary and discussions.

*Mailing address

2 Background and Notations

To introduce the LKP and LMKP hierarchies, we consider the algebra of shift operators

$$g = \{u_N(n)T^N + u_{N-1}(n)T^{N-1} + \cdots + u_0(n) + u_{-1}(n)T^{-1} + \cdots\},$$

where u_j are scalar functions of integer n . The shift operator T is given by

$$(Tf)(n) = f^{(1)}(n) := f(n+1),$$

and for arbitrary integer k , $(T^k f)(n) = f^{(k)}(n) = f(n+k)$.

For any operator $\xi = \sum_j u_j T^j \in g$, the projections to various shift orders are denoted by

$$\xi_j = u_j T^j, \quad \xi_{\geq k} = \sum_{j \geq k} u_j T^j, \quad \xi_{< k} = \sum_{j < k} u_j T^j,$$

$$\xi_{> k} = \sum_{j > k} u_j T^j, \quad \xi_{\leq k} = \sum_{j \leq k} u_j T^j.$$

From the shift operator T , we also have the difference operator

$$\Delta = T - 1,$$

and its formal inverse

$$\Delta^{-1} = \sum_{j \geq 1} T^{-j}.$$

Another important notation is so called the trace, which is defined as

$$\text{tr}(\sum_i u_i T^i) = \sum_n u_0(n),$$

this permits us to identify g and its dual by the metric g^* : $\langle u^*, u \rangle = \text{tr}(u^* u)$. It can be shown that the metric is bi-invariant.

The LKP hierarchy is defined by the following Lax operator

$$L = T + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i T^{-i}, \tag{1}$$

and the flow equations are constructed as

$$L_{t_n} = [(L^n)_{\geq 0}, L]. \tag{2}$$

The LKP hierarchy (2) is a bi-Hamiltonian system. Its two Hamiltonian structures are constructed by means of the residue calculus in [7]. Recently, Oevel proposed a r -matrix setting for these Hamiltonian structures. The two Hamiltonian structures are given by the following Poisson tensors

$$P_1(\nabla H) = [\nabla H, L]_{\leq 0}, \tag{3}$$

$$P_2(\nabla H) = (L \nabla H)_{\geq 1} L - L(\nabla H L)_{\geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}[(L \nabla H + \nabla H L)_0, L] + \frac{1}{2}[\rho([\nabla H, L]_0), L], \tag{4}$$

where ρ is a skew-symmetric linear map on the algebra g_0 given explicitly by

$$\rho = \frac{T+1}{T-1}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\nabla H = \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_0} + T \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_1} + \dots \quad (6)$$

As for the lMKP hierarchy, we consider the following Lax operator

$$\mathcal{L} = qT + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{-i}, \quad (7)$$

and the flow equations are represented by

$$\mathcal{L}_{t_n} = [(\mathcal{L}^n)_{\geq 1} + \alpha(\mathcal{L}^n \Delta^{-1})_0, \mathcal{L}], \quad (8)$$

where α is a constant.

The case $\alpha = 0$ and the case $\alpha = 1$ were considered by Kupershmidt and Oevel respectively. In these two cases, the mMKP hierarchy (8) is a bi-Hamiltonian system. When $\alpha = 0$, the first Hamiltonian structure of (8) is found by Kupershmidt in the context of the residue calculus, it is not clear how to construct the second one this way. Oevel, in the case $\alpha = 1$, gives the bi-Hamiltonian structures by means of r -matrix approach.

Consider the linear operator on g

$$r(\xi) = \xi_{\geq 1} - \xi_{< 1} - 2\alpha(\xi \Delta^{-1})_0,$$

by direct calculations, it is found that that above r solves the modified Yang-Baxter equation only and only if $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. As we mentioned above, these are exactly the two cases studied by Kupershmidt and by Oevel. In the following, our parameter α will take the value either one or zero. The above r -matrix leads to the first Hamiltonian structure for the lMKP hierarchy.

To get the second Poisson tensor, one may use Suris's construction [15] by considering the following linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) &= \xi_{\geq 1} - \xi_{< 0} - 2\alpha(\xi \Delta^{-1})_0 - \rho(\xi_0) + 2\alpha \Delta^{-1} \xi_0, \\ \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) &= \xi_{\geq 1} - \xi_{< 0} + \rho(\xi_0), \\ \mathcal{S}(\xi) &= \rho(\xi_0) - \xi_0 - 2\alpha \Delta^{-1} \xi_0, \\ \mathcal{S}^\dagger(\xi) &= -\rho(\xi_0) - \xi_0 - 2\alpha(\xi \Delta^{-1})_0. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, the above operators are those presented by Oevel and are lead to the second Poisson tensor for this case. It can be proved that in the case $\alpha = 0$, these operators are satisfied the conditions of Suris's theorem (or the theorem 1 of Oevel [12]), therefore they also lead to a Poisson tensor, this time is for Kupershmidt's case. Unifying both Kupershmidt's case and Oevel's case, we have the following two Poisson tensors

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_1(\nabla H) = [(\nabla H)_{\geq 1}, \mathcal{L}] - [\nabla H, \mathcal{L}]_{\geq 0} - \alpha[(\nabla H \Delta^{-1})_0, \mathcal{L}] - \alpha \Delta^{-1}[\nabla H, \mathcal{L}]_0, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(\nabla H) &= (\mathcal{L} \nabla H)_{\geq 1} \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}(\nabla H \mathcal{L})_{\geq 1} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}, \nabla H]_0 \mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}, \nabla H]_0 + \\ &\quad \alpha \Delta^{-1}[\mathcal{L}, \nabla H]_0 \mathcal{L} + \alpha[\mathcal{L}, (\mathcal{L} \nabla H \Delta^{-1})_0] + \frac{1}{2}[\rho([\nabla H, \mathcal{L}]_0), \mathcal{L}], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where ρ is the one defined by (5) and ∇H is parametrized as

$$\nabla H = T^{-1} \frac{\delta H}{\delta q} + \frac{\delta H}{\delta a_0} + T \frac{\delta H}{\delta a_1} + \dots \quad (11)$$

In the remaining part of this section, we introduce the Miura map between lKP hierarchy and lMKP hierarchy following Kupershmidt. With the aid of a new field w , we introduce a map between lKP and mlKP hierarchies via the conjugacy

$$L = e^w \mathcal{L} e^{-w} = e^w q T e^{-w} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^w a_i T^{-i} e^{-w},$$

comparing the coefficients of different power of the shift operator of two sides leads to a transformation

$$q = e^{w^{(1)}-w}, \quad A_i = a_i e^{w-w^{(-i)}}, \quad (i \geq 0).$$

Let us introduce the new notations

$$R_i = R_i(q) := \prod_{s=0}^i q^{(-s)} / q, \quad (i \geq 0).$$

By eliminating the intermediate variable w , we reach the Miura map between the two sets of variables

$$M : \quad A_0 = a_0, \quad A_i = R_i a_i \quad (i > 0). \quad (12)$$

this is the Miura map constructed in [8].

Now we prove that if $\mathcal{L}$ solves the mlKP hierarchy, $L = e^w \mathcal{L} e^{-w}$ solves the lKP hierarchy. From $q = e^{w^{(1)}-w}$, we obtain $q_t = q(T-1)w_t$. On the other hand, the time evolution of q can be read from the mlKP hierarchy, that is $q_t = q(T-1)((\mathcal{L}^n)_0 + \alpha(\mathcal{L}^n \Delta^{-1})_0)$, so $w_t = (\mathcal{L}^n)_0 + \alpha(\mathcal{L}^n \Delta^{-1})_0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} L_t &= [w_t, L] + e^w [(\mathcal{L}^n)_{\geq 1} - \alpha(\mathcal{L}^n \Delta^{-1})_0, \mathcal{L}] e^{-w} \\ &= [w_t, L] + [e^w (\mathcal{L}^n)_{\geq 1} e^{-w}, L] - \alpha[(\mathcal{L}^n \Delta^{-1})_0, L] = [(L^n)_{\geq 0}, L], \end{aligned}$$

where we used $(\mathcal{L}^n)_0 = (L^n)_0$ and $e^w (\mathcal{L}^n)_{\geq 1} e^{-w} = (L^n)_{\geq 1} e^w$. Thus the Miura map (12) indeed converts the lMKP hierarchy into the lKP hierarchy.

3 Canonical Properties for First Hamiltonian Structures

In this section, we prove that Miura map is canonical between the first Hamiltonian structures. First we calculate the Hamiltonian matrices from the Poisson tensors (3) and (9). By substituting (6) into P_1 and (11) into P_2 , it is straightforward to get

$$B_1^{lKP} = (B_{ij}), B_{ij} = T^j A_{i+j} - A_{i+j} T^{-i}, \quad (i, j \geq 0), \quad (13)$$

and

$$B_1^{lMKP} = \begin{matrix} & q & a_0 & a_{j>0} \\ \begin{matrix} q \\ a_0 \\ a_{i>0} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(T-1) & \alpha q(T-1)T^j \\ (1-T^{-1})q & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha T^{-i}(1-T^{-1})q & 0 & B_{ij}^{(lMKP)} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$B_{ij}^{(lMKP)} = T^j a_{i+j} - a_{i+j} T^{-i} + \alpha(a_i T^{j-i} - T^{j-i} a_j + T^{-i} a_j - a_i T^j). \quad (15)$$

The Jacobian matrix of the Miura map (12) is easily calculated as

$$J = A_n \begin{pmatrix} q & a_0 & a_{i>0} \\ a_n D_n & R_n \delta_n^0 & R_n \delta_n^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where δ_j^i is the standard Kronecker symbol and D_n is the abbreviated notation for the Fréchet derivative given by

$$D_n := D(R_n) = R_n \frac{1 - T^{-n}}{T - 1} q^{-1}, \quad D_n^\dagger = q^{-1} \frac{1 - T^n}{T^{-1} - 1} R_n. \quad (17)$$

We need to calculate the matrix operator $JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger$, but first it is easy to find that

$$JB_1^{lMKP} = \begin{matrix} & q & a_0 & a_{j>0} \\ A_0 & (1 - T^{-1})q & 0 & 0 \\ A_{i>0} & \alpha R_i T^{-i} (1 - T^{-1})q & a_i D_i q (T - 1) & \alpha a_i D_i q (T - 1) T^j + R_i B_{ij}^{(lMKP)} \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} \\ \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

now the entries of the first row of the $JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger$ are seen as

$$(JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger)_{0,m} = (1 - T^{-1})q D_m^\dagger a_m = -(1 - T^m)R_m a_m = (T^m - 1)A_m,$$

which coincide with the $(B_1^{lKP})_{0,m}$. It is noticed that we have used the second formula of (17). Therefore, for the first row and the first column, two matrix operators B_1^{lKP} and $JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger$ are just the same as expected. We turn our attention to other entries of matrices. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} (JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger)_{mn} &= \alpha R_m T^{-m} (1 - T^{-1})q D_n^\dagger a_n + \alpha a_m D_m q (T - 1) T^n R_n + \\ &\quad \alpha R_m (a_m T^{n-m} - T^{n-m} a_n + T^{-m} a_n - a_m T^n) R_n + \\ &\quad R_m (T^n a_{m+n} - a_{n+m} T^{-m}) R_n \\ &= \alpha R_m T^{-m} (T^n - 1) R_n a_n + \alpha a_m R_m (1 - T^{-m}) T^n R_n + \\ &\quad \alpha R_m (a_m T^{n-m} - T^{n-m} a_n + T^{-m} a_n - a_m T^n) R_n + \\ &\quad R_m (T^n a_{m+n} - a_{n+m} T^{-m}) R_n \\ &= R_m (T^n a_{m+n} - a_{n+m} T^{-m}) R_n, \end{aligned}$$

now we use the formula in [8]

$$R_n T^m R_m = T_m R_{n+m},$$

and obtain the desired results $(JB_1^{lMKP} J^\dagger)_{mn} = (B_1^{lKP})_{mn}$. Thus, Miura map is indeed canonical.

4 Canonical Property for Second Hamiltonian Structures

We now show that the Miura map (12) is also canonical between the second Hamiltonian structure of the lKP hierarchy and the second Hamiltonian structure of the lMKP hierarchy. As in last section, we first calculate the Hamiltonian matrix operators from the Poisson tensors (4) and (10). The calculation in the present case is a bit cumbersome although it is straightforward. For the lKP hierarchy we have

$$A_{k,t} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (B_2^{lKP})_{k\ell} \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_\ell}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad t \equiv t_n, \quad H \equiv H_n = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(L^n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (B_2^{lKP})_{k\ell} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} (A_{\ell-j} T^j A_{k+j} - A_{k+j} T^{\ell-k-j} A_{\ell-j}) + \\ &\quad A_k (1 - T^{-k}) (1 + T + \cdots + T^\ell) A_\ell, \quad A_{-1} \equiv 1, \quad k \geq 0, \ell \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the mlKP hierarchy, we have

$$B_2^{lMKP} = \begin{matrix} & q & a_{m \geq 0} \\ \begin{matrix} q \\ a_{k \geq 0} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} q(T - T^{-1})q & \alpha q(T - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_{m-i} T^i + q(T - T^m)a_m \\ \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} T^{-j} a_{k-j}(1 - T^{-1})q + a_k(T^{-k} - T^{-1})q & B_{km}^{lMKP} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

with

$$B_{km}^{lMKP} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (a_{m-i} T^i a_{k+i} - a_{k+i} T^{m-k-i} a_{m-i}) + a_k \frac{(1 - T^{-k+1})(1 - T^m)}{1 - T} a_m + \alpha a_k (T^{-k} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_{m-i} T^i + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} T^{-j} a_{k-j} (1 - T^m) a_m, \quad a_{-1} \equiv q.$$

Thus, the matrix operator JB_2^{lMKP} reads as

$$JB_2^{lMKP} = \begin{matrix} & q & a_{m \geq 0} \\ \begin{matrix} A_0 \\ A_{k \geq 0} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} (a_0 + \alpha T^{-1}q)(1 - T^{-1})q & qT^{m+1}a_{m+1} - a_{m+1}T^{-1}q + \alpha T^{-1}q(1 - T^m)a_m \\ a_k D_k q(T - T^{-1})q + a_k R_k(T^{-k} - T^{-1})q + \alpha R_k \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} T^{-j} a_{k-j}(1 - T^{-1})q & a_k D_k (q(T - T^{-m})a_m + \alpha R_k q(T - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_{m-i} T^i) + R_k B_{km}^{lMKP} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

With all these formulae in hand, we find that the entries of the first row of $JB_2^{lMKP} J^\dagger$ are

$$\begin{aligned} (JB_2^{lMKP} J^\dagger)_{0,n} &= (a_0 + \alpha T^{-1}q)(1 - T^{-1})q D_n^\dagger a_n + \\ &\quad \left(qT^{n+1}a_{n+1} - a_{n+1}T^{-1}q + \alpha T^{-1}q(1 - T^n)a_n \right) R_n \\ &= -(a_0 + \alpha T^{-1}q)(1 - T^n)A_n + qT^{n+1}a_{n+1}R_n - \\ &\quad a_{n+1}T^{-1}qR_n + \alpha T^{-1}q(1 - T^n)A_n \\ &= -a_0(1 - T^n)A_n + qT^{n+1}a_{n+1}R_n - a_{n+1}T^{-1}qR_n = \\ &= A_0(T^n - 1)A_n + T^{n+1}A_{n+1} - A_{n+1}T^{-1} = (B_2^{lKP})_{0,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$q^{(-n-1)}R_n = R_{n+1}, \quad q^{-1}R_n^{(-1)} = R_{n+1},$$

which hold identically. For the remaining entries, we have,

$$(JB_2^{lMKP} J^\dagger)_{mn} = a_m D_m q(T - T^{-1})q D_n^\dagger a_n + R_m a_m (T^{-m} - T^{-1})q D_n^\dagger a_n + \quad (18)$$

$$a_m D_m q(T - T^n)a_n + R_m a_m \frac{(1 - T^{-m+1})(1 - T^n)}{1 - T} a_n R_n + \quad (19)$$

$$\alpha R_m \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} T^{-j} a_{m-j} \left((1 - T^{-1})q D_n^\dagger a_n + (1 - T^n)a_n R_n \right) + \quad (20)$$

$$\alpha \left(a_m D_m q(T-1) + R_m a_m (T^{-m} - 1) \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{n-j} T^j R_n + \quad (21)$$

$$R_m \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n+1} (a_{n-l} T^l a_{m+l} - a_{m+l} T^{n-m-l} a_{n-l}) \right) R_n, \quad (22)$$

so we need to prove that above expression is $(B_2^{lKP})_{mn}$.

It is easy to see that $(20) = (21) = 0$ in terms of D_m .

Since $T - T^{-1} = -(1+T)(T^{-1} - 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (18) + (19) &= -A_m \frac{1-T^{-m}}{T-1} (1+T)(1-T^n) A_n + A_m (T^{-m} - T^{-1}) \frac{1-T^n}{T^{-1}-1} A_n \\ &\quad + A_m \frac{1-T^{-m}}{T-1} (T-T^n) A_n + A_m \frac{(1-T^{-m+1})(1-T^n)}{1-T} A_n \\ &= A_m \frac{1-T^{-m}-T^{n+1}+T^{n-m+1}}{1-T} A_n = A_m \frac{(1-T^{-m})(1-T^{n+1})}{1-T} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to complete the proof, we need to show that

$$R_m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{n-i} T^i a_{m+i} - a_{m+i} T^{n-m-i} a_{n-i} \right) R_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (A_{n-j} T^j A_{m+j} - A_{m+j} T^{n-m-j} A_{n-j}),$$

this amounts to the identity

$$R_m T^j R_n = R_{n-j} T^j R_{m+j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+1,$$

which can be seen as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R_m T^j R_n &= q^{(-1)} \dots q^{(-m)} q^{(-1+j)} \dots q^{(-n+j)} \\ &= q^{(-1)} \dots q^{(-m)} q^{(-1+j)} \dots q q^{(-1)} \dots q^{(-n+j)} = \\ &= q^{(-1)} \dots q^{(-n+j)} q^{(-1+j)} \dots q a^{(-1)} \dots q^{(-m)} \\ &= R_{n-j} T^j R_{m+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that the Miura map is canonical in the sense of the second Hamiltonian structures.

5 Conclusions and Discussions

We have proved that the canonical property of Miura map holds between the lKP hierarchy and the lMKP hierarchy, that is, it maps the bi-Hamiltonian structures of the lMKP hierarchy to those of the lKP hierarchy. In [8], the lattice KP hierarchy is extended and it turns out that the extended lattice KP hierarchy is isomorphic to the lattice MKP hierarchy. Since we are dealing a slight generalized version of lMKP hierarchy here (8), we have a different extended lKP hierarchy.

Introducing a new field u and define the following invertible transformation

$$u = q, \quad A_0 = a_0, \quad A_i = R_i a_i,$$

It is easy to see that the first Hamiltonian matrix operator for our extended lKP hierarchy reads

$$B_1^{elKP} = \begin{matrix} & u & A_0 & A_{m>0} \\ \begin{matrix} u \\ A_0 \\ A_{n>0} \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(T-1) & \alpha u(T-1) T^m R_m \\ (1-T^{-1})u & 0 & (T^m-1)A_m \\ \alpha R_n T^{-n} (1-T^{-1})u & A_n (1-T^{-n}) & T^m A_{n+m} - A_{n+m} T^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}, \quad (23)$$

and the flow equations are given

$$u_t = u(T-1)\frac{\delta H}{\delta A_0} + \alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u(T-1)T^m \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_m},$$

$$A_{i,t} = \alpha R_n T^{-n} (1-T^{-1}) u \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (B_1^{elKP})_{ij} \frac{\delta H}{\delta A_j}, \quad H \equiv H_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \text{tr}(L^{n+1}),$$

where the Hamiltonian H is the same as in the LKP case. We could have a second Hamiltonian structure for the extended LKP hierarchy, but it is in a rather complicated form. So we omit it.

To conclude the paper, we point out that it seems interesting to prove the canonical property of the Miura map on the level of the Poisson tensors since that will hopefully make the proof more concise. For the Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy, such proof was given by Dickey ([3]) and for the continuous KP hierarchy and the constrained KP hierarchy, it is provided in [13] and in [10][14] respectively.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Professor S.Y. Lou for helpful discussions. The part of work was done during the author's stay in the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics and he should like to thank the AS ICTP for hospitality. The work is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] M. Antonowicz and A. P. Fordy, Commun. Math. Phys. **124** 465 (1989).
- [2] Y. Cheng, Commun. Math. Phys. **171** 661 (1995).
- [3] L. A. Dickey, Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems, Singapore: World Scientific (1991).
- [4] V.G. Drinfeld, V.V. Sokolov, J. Soviet Math. **30** 1975 (1984).
- [5] L. Feher, J. Harnad and I. Marshall, Commun. Math. Phys. **154** 181 (1993).
- [6] B.A. Kupershmidt, G. Wilson, Invent. Math. **62** 403 (1981).
- [7] B.A. Kupershmidt, Discrete Lax Equations and Differential - Difference Calculus, Paris: Asterisque(1985).
- [8] B.A. Kupershmidt, Commun. Math. Phys. **167** 351-371(1995).
- [9] Q. P. Liu, Phys. Lett. A **187** 373 (1994).
- [10] Q. P. Liu, Inver. Prob. **11** 205 (1995); Lett. Math. Phys. **43** 65 (1998).
- [11] R. Miura, J. Math. Phys. **9** 1202 (1968).
- [12] W. Oevel, in Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems: in memory of Irene Dorfman, eds. A. S. Fokas and I. M. Gelfand, Birkhäuser Boston (1997).
- [13] J.C. Shaw and M.H. Tu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** 4825-33 (1997).
- [14] J.C. Shaw and M. H. Tu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** L725 (1997).
- [15] Y.B. Suris, Phys. Letts. A **180** 419 (1993).